A Novel Selective Medium for the Isolation of *Rothia aeria* in Oral Cavities

Kenichi Nebuka¹⁾ Osamu Tsuzukibashi²⁾

Nihon University School of Dentistry at Matsudo,

Laboratory Medicine for $Dentistry^{1), 2)}$

(Director: Professor Masahiko Fukumoto)

Abstract

Among the genus Rothia, Rothia dentocariosa and *Rothia mucilaginosa* are found in oral cavity and pharynx of humans. Furthermore, there is only one report that *Rothia aeria*, which is capable of causing serious systemic infections, has been also detected in the mouths of healthy individuals. A suitable selective medium for the isolation of *R. aeria* is necessary to assess the veritable prevalence of this organism in the oral cavity. To examine the bacterium population in the oral cavity, a novel selective medium, designated RASM, was developed for the isolation of R. aeria. RASM consists of sodium gluconate, tryptone, lablemco powder and aztreonam. Average growth recovery of *R. aeria* on RASM was 96.1% compared with that on BHI-Y agar. Growth of other representative oral bacteria including R. dentocariosa, R. Actinomyces, *mucilaginosa*, genera Neisseria. and Corvnebacterium were remarkably inhibited on the

selective medium. Though the inhibitions of certain strains of streptococci were not complete, they formed small or pin colony on RASM and could be distinguished from *R. aeria* colony. Clinical efficacy was evaluated by the recovery of *R. aeria* on RASM from the stimulated saliva samples of ten volunteers. R. aeria was detected at 3.1 (range: 0.5-14.4) % to total bacteria of 7.0×10^7 CFU/ml on BHI-Y agar in their oral cavities. The new selective medium, RASM, was useful for the isolation of *R. aeria*. Moreover, we examined the antibiotic susceptibility of twenty isolates from four subjects. The bacterium was susceptible to most antibiotics; however R. aeria isolates from one subject were highly resistant to erythromycin, lincomycin, and clindamycin.

Introduction

There are actually six species in genus Rothia: Rothia aeria, Rothia amarae, Rothia dentocariosa, Rothia mucilaginosa, Rothia nasimurium, and Rothia terrae (1-5). Among the six *Rothia* species, *R. dentocariosa* and *R. mucilaginosa* are found in the oral cavity and pharynx of humans (6-10). Concerning R. aeria, it was first isolated from air and condensation water samples from the Russian space station, Mir (1). R. aeria is capable of causing serious systemic infections, such as sepsis, bronchitis, pneumonia, and endocarditis (13-17). There is only one report that *R. aeria* has been also detected in the mouths of healthy individuals (18). We previously reported each selective medium for the isolation of R. dentocariosa and R. mucilaginosa (8, 9). Since both selective media inhibit the growth of *R. aeria*, and this organism has never been detected in our previous studies, we did not know whether R. aeria is normal oral flora or

not. Therefore, a suitable selective medium for the isolation of R. aeria is necessary to assess the veritable prevalence of this organism in the oral cavity.

According to some previously described case reports on serious systemic infections, *R. aeria* isolates from clinical blood is susceptible such as specimens to many however, antibiotics (14-16);it demonstrates only susceptibility clindamycin intermediate to and (14).Resistance clindamycin vancomycin to (16).aztreonam (16) and ofloxacin (15) determined using disk diffusion tests has also been reported.

The purpose of this study was to develop a new selective medium for the isolation of R. aeria and to investigate its distribution in the oral cavity. Moreover, in order to expand the knowledge of R. aeria, we examined the antibiotic susceptibility of the isolates from the oral cavities.

Material and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

All bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1, 2. The strains were maintained by cultivating them in brain heart infusion (BHI; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) supplemented with 1% yeast extract (BHI-Y). The bacteria were cultured in BHI-Y broth at 37°C for 24 h in aerobic conditions for members of the genus *Rothia* and in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere for other representative oral bacteria.

Evaluation of the base medium

A base medium was based on RSM (19) with some modifications, and was composed of the following (per liter): 10 g of sodium gluconate (Wako Pure Chemical Ind. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), 5 g of tryptone (Difco Laboratories, Mich. USA), 5 g of lablemco powder (Oxoid Ltd., Hampshire, England), and 15 g of agar. BHI-Y agar was used to compare with the base medium. Ten-fold dilutions of cultures were made in 0.9 ml of Tris-HCl buffer (0.05 M pH 7.2) and aliquots of 0.1 ml were spread onto each medium. Plates were cultured at 37°C for 72 h in aerobic conditions and the number of Colony-Forming Units (CFU)/ml was calculated.

Susceptibility tests

microbroth dilution The method used for was susceptibility testing (20). Preliminary studies of selection were also performed by antibiotic disk susceptibility tests (Sensi-Disk, Becton Dickinson Co., MD, USA).

Recovery of R. aeria and other representative oral bacteria on the selective medium

Recovery of R. aeria and other representative oral bacteria were calculated CFU/ml on the selective medium

compared with those on BHI-Y agar for total cultivable bacteria. Bacteria were pre-incubated in BHI-Y broth at 37°C for 24 h in each condition. Ten fold dilutions of cultures were made in 0.9 ml of Tris-HCl buffer (0.05 M, pH 7.2) and aliquots of 0.1 ml were spread onto BHI-Y agar and the selective medium. The selective medium plates were cultured at 37°C for 72 h in aerobic conditions , BHI-Y agar plates were cultured at 37°C for 48 h in 5% CO₂ atmosphere, and the number of CFU/ml was calculated.

Clinical samples

Clinical specimens were collected from ten volunteers (age 28-66, male 5, female 5). Paraffin-stimulated whole saliva samples were collected into a sterile microcentrifuge tube. Samples were dispersed by sonication for 30 sec in an ice bath (50 W, 20 kHz, Astrason[®] System model XL 2020, NY., USA). Portions

(100 µl) of appropriate dilutions of these samples were plated, in triplicate, on BHI-Y and the selective medium plates. BHI-Y plates for total bacteria were incubated at 37° C for 48 h in 5% CO₂ atmosphere, selective medium plates were cultured at 37° C for 72 h in aerobic conditions, and the number of CFU/ml was calculated for each. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nihon University School of Dentistry at Matsudo, Japan (EC 11-020).

Identification of R. aeria from clinical samples

Ten colonies per subject on the selective medium were subcultured to confirm by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis.

PCR analysis

PCR analysis for the identification of R. aeria was performed as described previously (21). Briefly, bacterial

cells were cultured in BHI-Y broth for 24 h, and then 1 ml samples were collected in microcentrifuge tubes and resuspended at a density of 1.0 McFarland standard (approximately 107 CFU/ml) in 1 ml of sterile distilled water. Finally, 3.6 µl of the suspension were used as a PCR template. The multiplex PCR mixture contained 0.5 μ M of each primer, 10 μ l of 2 × MightyAmp Buffer Ver.2 (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan), 0.4 µl of MightyAmp DNA Polymerase (Takara), and 3.6 µl of template in a final volume of 20 µl. The PCR reactions were carried out in a DNA thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems 2720 Thermal Cycler; Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The PCR conditions included an initial denaturation step at 98°C for 2 min, followed by 25 cycles consisting of 98°C for 10 sec, 66°C for 15 sec and 68°C for 1 min. The PCR products were analyzed by 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis. A 100 bp DNA ladder (Takara Biomed, Shiga, Japan) was used as a molecular size marker.

Antibiotics susceptibility tests of R. aeria isolates

Antibiotics susceptibilities of *R. aeria* isolates were characterized using microdilution method. Antibiotics used in this study are listed in Table 4. They are widely treatment of Gram-positive the in bacterial used infections. Although Clinical and Laboratory no Standards Institute (CLSI) protocols exist for *R. aeria*, we assessed the organism's drug susceptibility utilizing the 2009 CLSI criteria (M100-S19) for staphylococci.

Results

Evaluation of the base medium

A base medium for the growth of *R. aeria* has been studied. *R. aeria* grew well on BHI-Y agar and the test medium, which was a little modified RSM (19), at similar ratios (data not shown). Oral streptococci (*Streptococcus oralis, Streptococcus salivarius, and Streptococcus*

anginosus) and oral rothia (R. dentocariosa and R.mucilaginosa) formed small or pin colonies on the test medium, and genera Actinomyces, Neisseria, and Corynebacterium did not grow on it (data not shown). Finally, a base medium was determined the test medium, because it inhibited the growth of other bacteria and the colony size of R. aeria on the test medium was similar to that on BHI-Y agar.

Susceptibility to antibiotics

R. aeria was resistant to aztreonam. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of aztreonam to *R. aeria*, *R. dentocariosa*, and *R. mucilaginosa* was 250 µg/ml, 100 µg/ml, and 50 µg/ml, respectively.

Composition of new selective medium

The new selective medium, designated *R. aeria* selective medium (RASM), was composed of the following (per

liter): 10 g of sodium gluconate, 5 g of tryptone, 5 g of lablemco powder, 40 mg of aztreonam, and 15 g of agar. The antibiotic was added, after the base medium had been sterilized and cooled to 50°C.

Recovery of R. aeria and other representative oral bacteria on the selective medium

Table 1 shows the recovery of R. aeria on RASM compared with BHI-Y agar. Recovery of R. aeria ranged from 94.5% to 98.9% (average 96.1%) on RASM compared with that on BHI-Y agar. On primary isolation, the colonies of R. aeria on RASM commonly exhibited rough, dry, folded and convex appearance (Fig. 1) and adhered to the agar medium such that they were not easily scraped off. The size of colonies on RASM ranged 1.3 to 2.1 mm in diameter after 72 h incubation.

Table 2 shows the inhibition of other representative oral bacteria on RASM compared with BHI-Y agar. RASM

inhibited the growth of them, except for *S. oralis* and *S. salivarius*. Although the growth inhibitions of *S. oralis* and *S. salivarius* were not complete, these organisms formed small or pin colonies, the size of which ranged 0.1 to 0.2 mm on RASM.

Clinical examination

The proportion of *R. aeria* in saliva from ten subjects on BHI-Y agar and RASM is shown in Table 3. The mean number of total bacteria was 0.7×10^8 CFU/ml (range: 0.2×10^8 - 1.6×10^8). The mean number of *R. aeria* was 2.2×10^6 CFU/ml (range: 0.4×10^6 - 6.4×10^6). *R. aeria* accounted for 3.1% of total bacteria, and were detected from saliva samples of all ten subjects.

Antibiotics susceptibility tests of R. aeria isolates

Table 4 shows the antibiotics susceptibility tests of R. aeria isolates. Reference strains and clinical isolates of R. *aeria* were susceptible to most antibacterial agents. Among the subjects, the isolates from subject B were highly resistant to erythromycin, lincomycin and clindamycin.

Discussion

R. dentocariosa and *R. mucilaginosa* are part of the normal flora in the human oral cavity and pharynx (6-10).

R. aeria was first isolated from air and condensation water samples from the Russian space station, Mir (1). *R. aeria* was originally classified as *R. dentocariosa* genomovar II before the report of Li et al. (1). We were unable to find any detailed reports on the localization of *R. aeria* in human oral cavities, although there is only one report of the colonization by *R. aeria* on the tongue of healthy adults (18). Therefore, if *R. aeria* is part of normal flora in the oral cavity, a suitable identification method and selective medium is necessary to assess the

veritable prevalence of R. aeria in the oral cavity. To examine the bacterium population in the oral cavity, a novel selective medium, designated RASM, was developed for the isolation of R. aeria in this study. RASM was highly selective for R. aeria.

medical clinical microbiological examination, On Rothia species can be mistaken for bacteria such as Actinomyces Dermabacter hominis. viscosus. Propionibacterium Corynebacterium avidum. Nocardia species, matruchotii and because many laboratories are unfamiliar with these organisms, which may be difficult to culture due to same Gram positive and to their variable aero-tolerance (22-24). rods Moreover, the colonies of *Nocardia* species are similar to those of *R. aeria* (25). *R. aeria* is capable of causing serious systemic infections (13-18). Therefore, RASM may contribute to the correct and rapid diagnosis of the infection diseases caused by *R. aeria*.

In this study, *R. aeria* was detected from all subjects, and accounted for 3.1% of total bacteria in saliva. In our previous studies, *R. dentocariosa* and *R. mucilaginosa* accounted for 2.6% and 3.4% of total bacteria in saliva respectively (8, 9). These results indicated that *R. aeria* is part of normal flora in the oral cavity as same as known oral rotiha, *R. dentocariosa* and *R. mucilaginosa*.

In this study, R. aeria was susceptible to most antibiotics, however clinical isolates of R. aeria from one subject were highly resistant to erythromycin, lincomycin, and clindamycin. Clinical isolates of R. aeria in this study were more highly resistant to some antibiotics than the strains of some previously described case reports on serious systemic infections. It might be necessary to monitor the antibiotics resistance of R. aeria continually.

We developed a novel selective medium with high selectivity, designated RASM, for the isolation of *R. aeria*. RMSM is useful for determining the distribution and role

of this organism in oral cavities.

References

1. Li Y, Kawamura Y, Fuliwara N, Naka T, Liu H, Huang X, Kobayashi K, Ezaki T: *Rothia aeria* sp. nov., *Rhodococcus baikonurensis* sp. nov. and *Arthrobacter russicus* sp. nov., isolated from air in the Russian space laboratory Mir. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 54: 827-835, 2004.

 Fan Y, Jin Z, Tong J, Li W, Pasciak M, Gamian A: *Rothia amarae* sp. nov., from sludge of a foul water sewer.
 Int J Syst Evol Microbiol, 52: 2257–2260, 2002.

3. Lesher RJ, Gerencser MA, Gerencser VF:

Morphological, biochemical, and serological

characterization of Rothia dentocariosa. Int J Syst

Bacteriol, 24: 154-159, 1974.

4. Collins MD, Hutson RA, Båverud V, Falsen E: Characterization of a Rothia-like organism from a mouse: description of *Rothia nasimurium* sp. nov. and

reclassification of Stomatococcus mucilaginosus as Rothia

mucilaginosa comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol, 50: 1247-1251, 2000.

5. Chou YJ, Chou JH, Lin KY, Lin MC, Wei YH, Arun AB: *Rothia terrae* sp. nov. isolated from soil in Taiwan. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol, 58: 84–88, 2008.

6. Schaal KP: The genera Actinomyces,

Arrcanobacterium, and Rothia. In: The Prokaryotes. 2nd ed. Balows A, Trüper HG, Dworkin M, Harder W,

Schleifer KH, Eds. Springer, New York: 850-905, 1992.

7. Graevenitz A, Pünter-Streit V, Philippe Riegel, Funke G: Coryneform bacteria in throat cultures of healthy individuals. J Clin Microbiol, 36: 2087–2088, 1998.

8. Kobayashi T, Uchibori S, Tsuzukibasi O, Goto H, Aida M: A selective medium for *Rothia mucilaginosa* and its distribution in oral cavities. J Microbiol Methods. 91: 364-365, 2012.

9. Uchibori S, Tsudukibashi O, Goto H, Kobayashi T, AidaM: A novel selective medium for the isolation and

distribution of *Rothia dentocariosa* in oral cavities. J Microbiol Methods, 91: 205-207, 2012.

10. Uchibori S, Tsuzukibashi O, Kobayashi T, Aida M:
Localization of the genus *Rothia* in the oral cavity. Int J
Oral-Med Sci, 11: 207-210, 2012.

11. Shin JH, Shim JD, Kim HR, Sinn JB, Kook JK, Lee
JN: *Rothia dentocariosa* septicemia without endocarditis
in a neonatal infant with meconium aspiration syndrome.
J Clin Microbiol, 42: 4891-4892, 2004.

12. McWhinney PH, Kibbler CC, Gillespie SH, Patel S,

Morrison D, Hoffbrand AV: Stomatococcus

mucilaginosus: an emerging pathogen in neutropenic

patients. Clin Infect Dis, 14: 641-646, 1992.

13. 17. Monju A, Shimizu N, Yamamoto M, Oda K,

Kawamoto Y, Ohkusu K: First case report of sepsis due to *Rothia aeria* in a neonate. J Clin Microbiol, 47: 1605-1606, 2009.

14. Verrall AJ, Robinson PC, Tan CE, Mackie WG,

Blackmore TK: *Rothia aeria* as a cause of sepsis in a native joint. J Clin Microbiol, 48: 2648–2650, 2010.

15. Michon J, Jeulin D, Lang JM, Cattoir V: *Rothia aeria* acute bronchitis: the first reported case. Infection, 38: 335–337, 2010.

16. Hiyamuta H, Tsuruta N, Matsuyama T, Satake M, Ohkusu K, Higuchi K: First case report of respiratory infection with *Rothia aeria*. Nihon Kokyuki Gakkai Zasshi, 48: 219–223, 2010.

17. Tarumoto N, Sujino K, Yamaguchi T, Umeyama T,
Ohno H, Miyazaki Y, Maesaki S: A first report of *Rothia aeria* endocarditis complicated by cerebral hemorrhage,
Intern Med, 51: 3295-3299, 2012.

 Haraszthy VI, Zambon JJ, Sreenivasan PK, Zambon MM, Gerber D, Rego R, Parker C: Identification of oral bacterial species associated with halitosis. J Am Dent Assoc, 138: 1113-1120, 2007.

19. Beighton D: Improved medium for recovery of Rothia

from dental plaque. J Dent Res, 55: 550, 1976.

20. Hirasawa M, Takada K: Susceptibility of streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus sobrinus to cell wall inhibitors and development of a novel selective medium for *S. sobrinus*. Caries Res, 36: 155-160, 2002. 21. Tsuzukibashi O, Uchibori S, Shinozaki-Kuwahara N, Saito M, Kobayashi T, Fukumoto M: New primer design for the identification of oral rothia including R. aeria using multiplex PCR. Int J Oral-Med Sci, 12: 2013. 22. Binder D, Zbinden R, Widmer U, Opravil M, Krause M: Native and prosthetic value endocarditis caused by Rothia dentocariosa: diagnostic and therapeutic considerations. Infect, 25: 22-26, 1997. 23. Brown JM, Georg LK, Waters LC: Laboratory

identification of *Rothia dentocariosa* and its occurrence in human clinical materials. Appl Microbiol, 17: 150-156, 1969.

24. Funke G, von Graevenitz A, Clarridge JE 3rd,

Bernard KA: Clinical microbiology of coryneform bacteria. Clin Microbiol Rev, 10: 125-159, 1997.

25. Murray PR, Witebsky FG: The clinician and the microbiology laboratory. In: Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's principles and practice of infectious diseases.
7th ed. Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, Eds. Churchill Livingstone, New York: 233-265, 2009.

Strain		BHI-Y	RASM			
		CFU/ml, ×10 ⁸	CFU/ml, ×10 ⁸	Recovery, %		
Rothia aeria						
	JCM 11412	$2.1 \pm 0.2^{\mathrm{a}}$	2.1 ± 0.2	98.9		
	NUM-Ra7006	2.2 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.3	96.2		
	NUM-Ra7007	1.0 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	99.6		
	NUM-Ra7008	2.1 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.2	94.5		
	NUM-Ra7009	1.6 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2	95.6		

Table 1Recovery of *R. aeria* on BHI-Y and RASM

^a Ave \pm SD.

	BHI-Y	RASM		
Strain	CFU/ml, ×10 ⁸	Recovery, %		
Rothia dentocariosa				
JCM 3067	1.1	<0.0 ^a		
NUM-Rd6018	1.5	<0.0		
NUM-Rd6020	0.9	<0.0		
Rothia mucilaginosa				
JCM 10910	2.1	<0.0		
NUM-Rm6504	1.2	<0.0		
NUM- $Rm6505$	1.7	<0.0		
Streptococcus oralis				
ATCC 10557	1.3	1.5^{b}		
Streptococcus salivarius				
HHT	3.2	1.9^{b}		
Streptococcus anginosus				
ATCC 11391	5.3	<0.0		
Streptococcus mutans				
NCTC 10449	6.4	<0.0		
Actinomyces viscosus				
ATCC 19246	1.3	0		
Actinomyces naeslundii				
ATCC 12104	1.1	0		
Actinomyces odontolyticus				
NUM-Ao12	0.8	0		
Neisseria sicca				
ATCC 2925	2.7	0		
Corynebacterium matruchotii				
ATCC 14266	0.8	0		

Table 2 $\,$ Growth of other representative oral bacteria on BHI-Y and RASM $\,$

^a less than 0.1%, ^b small or pin colonies.

	BHI-Y	RASM	Detection ratio
Subject	Total bacteria	R. aeria	
	CFU/ml, $\ge 10^8$	CFU/ml, $\ge 10^6$	%
А	1.6	6.4	3.9
В	0.6	1.2	2.0
\mathbf{C}	0.2	2.6	14.4
D	0.3	1.1	3.3
${f E}$	1.2	3.6	2.9
\mathbf{F}	0.3	2.1	0.8
G	0.9	0.4	0.5
Н	0.6	3.3	5.5
Ι	1.1	1.1	1.0
J	0.2	0.4	2.0
Average	0.7	2.2	3.1

Table 3 Proportion of *R. aeria* in saliva samples from 10 subjects on BHI-Y and RASM

Antimicrobial agent	CLSI Standards		MIC (µg/ml)	Range of MIC (µg/ml) Clinical isolates of <i>R. aeria</i> (No. of isolates)			
			R. aeria)
	(µք	g/ml)	JCM 11412	Subject A (n=5)	Subject B (n=5)	Subject C (n=5)	Subject D (n=5)
Oxacillin	$S^a \leq 0.25$	$ m R^b \geqq 0.5$	0.06	0.03-0.06	0.03-0.06	0.03-0.06	0.03-0.06
Erythromycin	$S{\le}0.5$	$R \ge 8$	0.25	0.125 - 0.05	64-128	0.125 - 0.05	0.125 - 0.05
Lincomycin	$S{\le}0.5$	$R \ge 8$	2	2-4	64-128	1-2	1-2
Clindamycin	$S{\le}0.5$	$R \ge 8$	1	0.5-1	64-128	1-2	1-2
Gentamycin	$S \leq 4$	$R \ge 16$	8	4-8	4-8	4-8	4-8
Teikoplanin	$S \leq 8$	$R \ge 32$	0.5	1-2	1-2	0.5-2	0.5-1
Vancomycin	$S \leq 4$	$R \ge 32$	2	1-2	2	1-2	1-2

Table 4Antibiogram of reference strain and clinical isolates of R. aeria

^a sensitive, ^b resistant.





Fig. 1 Appearance of *R. aeria* colonies on RASM
A: *R. aeria* colonies on RASM inoculated with saliva sample
B: Stereomicroscope image of *R. aeria* colony on RASM